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**Inroduction**

Following your discussions during the last coordination meeting in La Corunia, after the important clarification about the WP3 sent one week ago with deadlines and milestones scheduled, please find below the proposals regarding WP3 objectives which have to *be examined, completed and validated by the WP3 steering group* ***before the 13 of July****:*

***Nota bene a)*** Note that only Miguel, Yorgos and Sophie (and Jenny) formally anwsered to be member of the steering group for the WP3. As Phénia said, new members are still accepted ! (it would be relevant to have Roger from NE with us, Inma asked for Tom too).

***Nota bene b*)** UDC was supposed to provide raw data of the questionnaire 3.1 last Friday. Data providers needs these raw data as soon as possible to validate them before any analyse***.***

***Raw data must be provided before the 6 of July to have any chance to continu this collective work and achived WP3 as requested by the MAIA application form.***

## Proposal of global objectives of the WP3 and results expected

Please note that the following proposals fits with the MAIA project application form annexed to the global agreement between MAIA partners.

Coordination and tasks sharing reminder

XG-CM is the lead partner for this workpakage and in charge of the global report coordination

UDC is in charge of the questionnaire 3.1 analysis and report writing

Expected delivarables (see details below in the text):

* Management plan review and comparison report (action 3.1 report)
* Management plan recommendations (WP3 global report regarding management in MPAs)

### A/ Specific objectives for Action 3.1 Management plan review and comparaison

###### Context reminder

To do this task a questionnaire (called ‘questionnaire 3.1’) has been developped and completed to analyse how are designed the management plan documents for MPAs in our 4 countries.

* ICNB, AAMP, NE and XG-CM/UDC completed it for numerous documents.
* Many, many, many raw data are available
* Data collection is over since September 2011

###### **Objectives**

To get a:

* complete and good analyses of all these collected data
* global report (*delivarable 1*) presenting specificities, differences and similitudes, gaps in management plan documents devloped for MPA in the Altantic arc by the 4 countries of the partnership.

### B/ Specific objectives for Action 3.2 Management plan trials

###### Context reminder

To do this task a questionnaire (called ‘questionnaire 3.2’) has been developed and completed to analyse how management plans are implemented.

* Only AAMP and XG-CM/UDC completed it for some MPAs.
* Raw data are incomplete. Since May 2011, **the priority is to fullfill the MAIA attributes of the GIS MAIA database about management implemented in MPAs** (items similar and more complete than the initial questionnaire).

###### **Objective**

* Use the few data collected to feed the steering group reflexion
* **If the action 3.1 report is finilized on time, and only after a global agreement of the WP3 steering group**, a rapid assessment of the few data collected could be done. (Small report, not to be dissaminated).
* However the web MAIA GIS database is a much more relevant tool, with better visibility than any report, that’s why we wish to complete it in priority.

### C/ Specific objectives for Action 3.3 Investigating the added values of MPAs

###### Context reminder

To do this task a questionnaire (called ‘questionnaire 3.3’) has been developped to review exemples of MPAs that have provided wider socio-economic benefits or which had new activity developped thanks to the MPA implementation generating new incomes.

* Only AAMP (one questionnaire fullfiled) and XG-CM/UDC completed it.
* Raw data are incomplete
* Unexpected effect : the questionnaire has been useful for Arrabida natural park and Miguel to develop new activites (Miguel could say much more about this)

###### **Objectives**

* Use the few data collected to feed the steering group reflexion
* ***If the action 3.1 report is finilized on time, and only after a global agreement of the WP3 steering group****, a rapid assessment of the few data collected could be done. (Small report, not to be dissaminated)*

### D/ Objctives for Action 3. 4 Management plan recommendations

This action is the conculsion of the 3 years activities of all MAIA Partners regarding **Management plan** (analyses of documents and analyses of management implementation trials for various MPA designations)

###### **Objectives**

* Use the globale analysis and results of the action 3.1 to suggest what should be present in a management plan document, how a management plan should be design to be usefull for MPAs managers and MPA management implementation
* Use raw data and partners experiences of action 3.2 and 3.3 to suggest best practices
* Use workshop 3 presentations, discussions and conclusions
* Use OSPAR guide lines about management plan, IUCN recommendations for managing MPAs (2003, Guidelines for management plan for protected areas), etc.
* Produce a final report (*delivarable 2*) presenting the first recommendations of the MAIA network about managing MPAs. Maybe this report should be a reflexion about what the network should explore in the future about managing MPAs. What we need to know ? How gather information about it ? To me it seems that we are still at the beguining of the knowledge (main line of work are know by each of use…but specifics recommendation, in detail….less easy).

The September technical meeting should be the occasion to define and agree on a detailled summary for this global WP3 report (action 3.4).

***Thank you to examine, complete and validate each of the above items suggested (objectives and deliverable waited) before the 13 of July***